

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/005,787	11/08/2001	Donald W. Taylor	DE009DT-1	9660		
7590 06/30/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL K. BOYER CHIEF PATENT COUNSEL ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO 2000 US HWY 63 SOUTH			CAIN, EDWARD J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			. 1714			
MOBERLY, M	O 65270		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	-(			
		10/005,78		DONALD W. TAYL	OP ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	ORETAL			
		Edward J.		1714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				dress			
Period fo				•				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, it period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and we statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely rom the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.					
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		•	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ireau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No eived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen								
· ==	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	81	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai	•				
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

Art Unit: 1714

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a "polymer selected from the group consisting of vinyl acetate, vinyl acetate copolymers ... polyvinyl acetate..." It is unclear what distinction applicants intend between a polymer of vinyl acetate and polyvinyl acetate. Appropriate clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyata.

Miyata discloses anti-corrosive compositions comprising polyvinyl acetate emulsion and oleic acid (claims 1 and 3).

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hen.

Hen discloses methods of scale inhibition comprising application of compositions comprising polyvinyl acetate, salt water or brine and other additives (see claims 1, 5 and 11).

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bier.

Bier discloses aqueous paint compositions comprising binder, water soluble salts and silicone anti-foam agents (claims 6, 7 and 14). Among the salts taught as suitable is sodium chloride. Among the binders taught as suitable are polyvinyl alcohol, metal silicates and mixtures thereof.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the components meeting applicants' claim limitations with the reasonable expectation that suitable paint compositions would result.

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

**Art Unit: 1714** 

Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '770.

WO '770 discloses aqueous corrosion preventing systems comprising polymer carriers, metal silicates and metal chlorides (claims 1, 5, 9, 16 and 18). Among the polymers taught as suitable is ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer and sodium chloride as the carrier and chloride ion source, respectively, with the expectation that suitable results would ensue.

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714